	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/519,025	JENSEN, MARINA B	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert J. Popovics	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING Extension of the property of the state of the st	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a record will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	LA parto Quaylo, 1000 C.D	. 11, 400 0.0.210.	
` _	tion		
4) Claim(s) 52-76 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawii irom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 52-76 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		·· —	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li		rogolyad	
See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies flot	received.	
August (c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	Informal Patent Application	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	-, _ 310		

Application/Control Number: 10/519,025

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following mutually exclusive patentably distinct species:

Receiving Layer Species	Receiving Layer
1	Stagnant
2	Same Direction Same Speed
3 .	Same Direction Different Speed
4	Different Direction Same Speed
5	Different Direction Different Speed

Species	Transfer Technique
1	Sedimentation
2	Mixing Layer Mass Flow
3	Diffusion

Species	Retention Technique	
1	Precipitation	
2	Sorption	
3	Other?	

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each genus as set forth above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations Application/Control Number: 10/519,025

Art Unit: 1724

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J. Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert James Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724